PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference SUSA-12037 | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See item 4 below | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/US2007/087425 | International filing date (day/month/year) 13 December 2007 (13.12.2007) | Priority date (day/month/year) 13 December 2006 (13.12.2006) | | |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 | | | | |
| Applicant SUSAVION BIOSCIENCES, INC. | | | | |

| 1. | . This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a). | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2. | This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | | |
| | In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. | | | | |
| 3. | This report contains indications | relating to the following items: | | | |
| | Box No. I | Basis of the report | | | |
| | Box No. II | Priority | | | |
| | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
| | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention | | | |
| | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited | | | |
| | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application | | | |
| | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application | | | |
| 4. | | ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority | | | |

Date of issuance of this report 16 June 2009 (16.06.2009)

e-mail: pt03.pct@wipo.int

Beate Giffo-Schmitt

Authorized officer

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR | RITY | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| To: ALBERT L. SCHMEISER 18 E. UNIVERSITY DRIVE | | РСТ | | | |
| SUITE 101 MESA, AZ 85201 | | WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | | | |
| | | | (PCT Rule 43bis.1) | | |
| | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | 05 AUG 2008 | | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | FOR FURTHER | ACTION | | |
| SUSA-12037 | | | See paragraph 2 below | | |
| International application No. | nternational filing date | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) | | |
| PCT/US07/87425 1 | 3 December 2007 (13.1 | 2.2007) | 13 December 2006 (13.12.2006) | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or b | both national classificat | ion and IPC | | | |
| IPC: A61K 38/07 (2006.01), 38/08 (200 USPC: 514/16,17,18;530/328,329,330 | 06.01); C07K 5/10 (2000 | 6.01), 7/06 (2006.01) | | | |
| Applicant | • | | | | |
| SUSAVION BIOSCIENCES, INC. | | • | | | |
| | | | - ; | | |
| 1. This opinion contains indications relating | ng to the following item | s: | | | |
| Box No. 1 Basis of the or | · Dinion | | | | |
| Box No. II Priority | | | | | |
| Box No. III Non-establishi | ment of opinion with re | gard to novelty, inve | ntive step and industrial applicability | | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of | | | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | |
| Box No. VI Certain docum | nents cited | | | | |
| Box No. VII Certain defects | Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application | | | | |
| Box No. VIII Certain observ | rations on the internation | nal application | | | |
| 2. FURTHER ACTION | | | | | |
| International Preliminary Examining | Authority ("IPEA") ex IPEA and the chosen | cept that this does IPEA has notified the | be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an he International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered. | | |
| IPEA a written reply together, where ap of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the exp | ppropriate, with amend piration of 22 months fro | ments, before the ex | PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later. | | |
| For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/ | /220. | | | | |
| 3. For further details, see notes to Form PC | CT/ISA/220. | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 | Date of comple 09 July 2008 (0 | tion of this opinion 9.07.2008) | Authoris officer Boll-Borne Jeffrey H. Russel Telephone No. (571) 272-1600 | | |

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US07/87425

| Box No | o. I Basis of this opinion | | | |
|-------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | | · · |
| 1. With 1 | regard to the language, this opinion has been established o | on the basis of: | | |
| \boxtimes | the international application in the language in which | | | |
| | a translation of the international application into, v international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)). | which is the languag | ge of a translation fur | rnished for the purposes of |
| 2. | This opinion has been established taking into account the | rectification of a | n obvious mistake a | uthorized by or notified to this |
| 2 11/24 | Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) | | | |
| establ | regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence ished on the basis of: | e disclosed in the | international applica | tion, this opinion has been |
| | type of material | | | |
| ·a. | | • | | |
| | a sequence listing | | | |
| | table(s) related to the sequence listing | | | |
| b. | format of material | | • | · . |
| | on paper | | | |
| | Emilian September 1 | | | |
| | in electronic form | ·- : | | |
| c. | time of filing/furnishing | • | | , |
| ٠. | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | |
| | filed together with the international application in | electronic form. | | |
| ÷ | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the pur | rposes of search. | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. 🛛 | In addition, in the case that more than one version or cop | y of a seguence list | ing and/or table(s) re | elating thereta has been filed |
| *· E3 | or furnished, the required statements that the information application as filed or does not go beyond the application | on in the subsequer | nt or additional copi | es is identical to that in the |
| 5 Additi | onal comments: | | | |
| J. Additi | onal confinents. | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | • | | * | |
| | • | | | • |
| | | • | The state of | · |
| | | | • | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | | |
| | | | • . | |
| | | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · |
| | | • | | |
| | | | , | |
| | | • | • | |
| | | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US07/87425

| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|-------------|--|
| 1. Statement | | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims Claims | | _YES _NO | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims Claims | | _YES _NO | |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | | _NO _YES | |
| | Claims | | _NO | |
| 2. Citations and explanations: | | | , | |
| Please See Continuation Sheet | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · · | | |

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US07/87425

| Suppl | emental | Box |
|-------|---------|-----|
|-------|---------|-----|

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1, 4-14, and 16-25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Secombes et al. Secombes et al teach peptides of SEQ ID NOS:12, 14, 16, 18, and 19, in which the G residue at positions 3, 4, or 5 corresponds to Applicant's G in the second-listed core sequence; and the S residue at position 6 corresponds to Applicant's S in the second-listed core sequence. The peptides are antimicrobial and immunostimulatory, and are administered by injection, topically, or orally. See, e.g., paragraphs [0001], [0102], [0106], and [0107], and claims 1-20 and 49-52. With respect to instant claims 6-9, the Arg residue including its side chain at position 1 of SEQ ID NOS:12, 14, 16, 18, and 19 of Secombes et al can correspond to Applicant's branched construct. Note that the claims do not impose any limitations on the chemical nature of the construct, and do not require multiple therapeutic peptides to be present in a branched relationship to one another. In view of the similarity in peptide structure and method steps between Secombes et al and Applicant's claimed method, inherently the production of at least one therapeutically beneficial cytokine, and the activity of at least one pathogen-directed antibody will be stimulated in Secombes et al to the same extent claimed by Applicant. With respect to instant claims 21-25, Secombes et al teach the only positive process step recited in the claims, i.e. contacting a test sample with a composition according to claim 5. Accordingly, the claims are anticipated by Secombes et al, and the intended use limitations do not impart novelty to the claims

Claims 1, 2, 4-15, and 18-25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by D. Livant. D. Livant teaches a polylysine dendrimer to which is attached a peptide comprising the amino acid sequence PHSCN. The P at position 1 of the peptide of D. Livant corresponds to the P residue in Applicant's first-listed core sequence; the S residue at position 3 corresponds to the S residue in Applicant's first-listed core sequence; and Applicant's m=0; n=1; and p=2. The compositions of D. Livant are used to treat cancer in a patient. See, e.g., claims 1-18. With respect to instant claims 4 and 5, to the extent that "immunostimulatory" is an intended use limitation, such a limitation does not impart novelty to product claims which are otherwise anticipated by the prior art. Alternatively, in view of the similarity in structure between D. Livant's composition and Applicant's claimed peptide, inherently the former will be immunostimulatory to the same extent claimed by Applicant. With respect to instant claims 12 and 18-20, in view of the similarity in peptide structure and method steps between D. Livant and Applicant's claimed method, inherently the production of at least one therapeutically beneficial cytokine, and the activity of at least one pathogen-directed antibody, will be stimulated in D. Livant to the same extent claimed by Applicant. With respect to instant claims 21-25, D. Livant teaches the only positive process step recited in the claims, i.e. contacting a test sample with a composition according to claim 5. Accordingly, the claims are anticipated by D. Livant, and the intended use limitations do not impart novelty to the claims.

Claims 1-9 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Sarig et al. Sarig et al teach peptide II, i.e. KXFVGGLS (see Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US07/87425

| Supp | lem | ental | Box |
|------|-----|-------|-----|
|------|-----|-------|-----|

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Figure 1). This peptide comprises Applicant's SEQ ID NO:1, electronic form. With respect to instant claims 4 and 5, to the extent that "immunostimulatory" is an intended use limitation, such a limitation does not impart novelty to product claims which are otherwise anticipated by the prior art. Alternatively, in view of the similarity in structure between Sarig et al's peptide and Applicant's claimed peptides, inherently the former will be immunostimulatory to the same extent claimed by Applicant. With respect to instant claims 6-9, the Lys residue including its side chain at position 1 of the peptide of Sarig et al can correspond to Applicant's branched construct. Note that the claims do not impose any limitations on the identity of the construct, and do not require multiple therapeutic peptides to be present in a branched relationship to one another.

Claims 1-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry. The claimed invention would have been expected to have industrial applicability in the therapeutic treatment of infections, cell proliferative diseases, and immunosuppressive disorders.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US07/87425 ·

| Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international | application |
|--------------|---|-------------|
|--------------|---|-------------|

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-25 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims are indefinite for the following reason(s): It is unclear to what "thereof" at claim 1, line 10, refers. If "thereof" refers to the therapeutic peptide of claim 1, then the claimed peptides embrace peptides comprising fewer than four amino acids. If "thereof" refers to the core sequences, then the claimed peptides embrace peptides which do not include all of the amino acids required by the core sequences. SEQ ID NO:1 is defined in the electronic form of the sequence listing as VGGLS, which contradicts SEQ ID NO:1 as set forth in claim 3. It is not clear which amino acid sequence was intended to be claimed.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (April 2007)